

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/779,440 02/13/2004 Hee-Sook Park 5649-1184 8768 7590 08/30/2005 **EXAMINER** Robert M. Meeks NGO, NGAN V Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Post Office Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627 2818

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	and
Office Action Summary	10/779,440	PARK ET AL.	(1/10
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngan Ngo	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) did not period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOV by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 07 July 2005.		•
·— ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are of 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	• .		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	, — ,	Currence (DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- PTO-892) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0405</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Art Unit: 2818

The amendment filed July 7, 2005 has been entered and made of record as paper no. 0705.

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-25 in the reply filed on July 7, 2005 is acknowledged.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 22, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schnabel et al (US-6291335 B1, cited by Applicants).

Schnabel discloses an integrated circuit device comprising a substrate (202), a contact pad (222) formed a first insulation layer, a conductive pattern (234) formed in a second insulation layer on the first insulation layer, and a conductive plug (246) extending through the second insulation layer to contact the contact pad and aligned to the conductive pattern. No patentable weight is given to the term 'self-aligned" because it refers to a method of making a product in a product claim. A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17(footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177

Art Unit: 2818

USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

In re claim 6, the conductive pattern (234) in Schnabel is a bitline. Note line 63, column 5 of Schnabel.

In re claim 7, the damascene pattern is disclosed on lines 30-40, column 5 of Schnabel.

In re claim 16, Schnabel discloses in figure 10 a storage node contact pad (222), a pair of bitlines disposed in respective trenches in the second insulation layer on opposite sites of the storage node contact pad (222), and a capacitor disposed on the conductive plug (246 and 248). Note line 12, column 2 of Schnabel. The source/drain region is inherently formed in the substrate.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2818

Claims 2, 4, 5, 8-11, 14, 17, 19-21, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schnabel et al in view of Schuele (US-5856704) and Yang et al (US-2002/0025689 A1).

Schnabel discloses all the subject matter above, Schuele further teaches that the first and second insulation layer being silicon oxide. Yang et al further discloses a glue layer (21) being made of tantalum nitride to glue the electrode (22) to the insulating layer.

In re claim 2, Schnabel discloses that the conductive pattern can be formed of tungsten. Note lines 33-35, column 5 of Schnabel.

The other references are cited to show other structures pertinent to Applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (571) 272-1711. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2818

Page 5

Jan J Who

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examiner

Ngan Ngo

August 20, 2005